

41. Owuor, J 2007, 'Integrating African indigenous knowledge in Kenya's formal education system: The potential for sustainable development', *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Education*, vol. 2, no. 2: pp. 21–37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20355/C5Z594>
42. Patton, M 2015, *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice*, 4th edn, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
43. Paul, E 2009, 'Community-based undergraduate research: Collaborative inquiry for the public good', in B Jacoby (ed.), *Civic engagement in higher education: Concepts and practices*, Jossey Bass, San Francisco, CA.
44. Peters, J 2014, *Work-integrated learning in Ontario's postsecondary sector: The pathways of recent college and university graduates*, Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario, Toronto, ON.
45. Republic of Kenya 2007, *Kenya Vision 2030*, abridged (popular) version. www.vision2030.go.ke/vision-2030-publications/
46. Republic of Kenya 2013, *Second medium term plan, 2013–2017: Transforming Kenya: Pathway to devolution, socio-economic development, equity and national unity*, Government of the Republic of Kenya, viewed 19 January 2018. www.devolutionplanning.go.ke/?publication=second-medium-term-plan-2013-2017
47. Roos, V, Temane, Q, Davis, L, Prinsloo, C, Kritzing, A, Naude, E & Wessels, J 2005, 'Service learning in a community context: Learners' perceptions of a challenging training paradigm', *South African Journal of Psychology*, vol. 35, no. 4: pp. 703–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/008124630503500406>
48. Sandy, M & Holland, B 2006, 'Different worlds and common ground: Community partner perspectives on campus-community partnerships', *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, vol. 13, no. 1: pp. 30–43.
49. Stanton, T & Erasmus, M 2013, 'Inside out, outside in: A comparative analysis of service-learning's development in the United States and South Africa', *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, vol. 17, no. 1: pp. 61–94.
50. Steinke, P & Buresh, S 2002, 'Cognitive outcomes of service-learning: Reviewing the past and glimpsing the future', *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, vol. 8, no. 2: pp. 5–14.
51. Teichler, U 2011, 'Bologna – Motor or stumbling block for the mobility and employability of graduates?', in H Schomburg & U Teichler (eds), *Employability and mobility of bachelor graduates in Europe*, Sense Publishers, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 3–41.
52. Thomson, A, Smith-Tolken, A, Naidoo, A & Bringle, R 2011, 'Service learning and community engagement: A comparison of three national contexts', *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, Official Journal of the International Society for Third-Sector Research, vol. 22, no. 2: pp. 214–37. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11266-010-9133-9>
53. Tiessen, R & Heron, B 2012, 'Volunteering in the developing world: The perceived impacts of Canadian youth', *Development in Practice*, vol. 22, no. 1: pp. 44–56. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2012.630982>
54. Tumuti, D, Mule, L, Gecaga, M & Manguriu, D 2013, 'Enhancing graduate employability through community engagement: A case study of students' community service at Kenyatta University', *Journal of Administrative Sciences and Policy Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1: pp. 1–14.
55. VanLeeuwen, CA, Kathuri-Ogola, L, Weeks, LE & Kabaria-Muriithi, JK, 2018, 'Getting ready for community practice: An evidence-based preparation course for Kenyan practicum students', *Family and Consumer Science Research Journal*, vol 46, no. 3, pp. 238–251. doi: 10.1111/fcsr.12248
56. Waruru, M 2015, 'Universities ordered to stop non-degree courses', *University World News*, iss. 367, 15 May, viewed 19 January 2018.
57. 2018. www.universityworldnews.com/article.php?story=20150514122242452

58. Weert, E 2011, Perspectives on higher education and the labour market: Review of international policy developments, Center for Higher Education Policy Studies, The Netherlands.
59. Worrall, L 2007, 'Asking the community: A case study of community partner perspectives', Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning, vol. 14, no. 1: pp. 5-17.

Press as institution of socio-political system of government

Rahimzade Vugar Ganjali

PhD, Nakhchivan section of
Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/7378h/jpapyr1i1.7>
<http://journaljuristicpapyrology.org/acces-online/>

Abstract:

Information policy is an activity of a person to actualize and realize his interests in society by means of creation, modification, preservation and transfer of all types of information. Information policy is a special field of people's activity who is involved in the presentation and dissemination of information (political figures, scientists, analysts, journalists, listeners, readers and etc.) that meets interests of social groups and public institutions. Information policy has two types: state and private. Information policy is informatiology of the mass media. Informatiology of the mass media considers how it shapes ideological, political, economic and other views, thoughts, knowledge and evaluation that influence the welfare, culture, conduct of people and all sides of their life. According to the main principle of the implementation of state information policy the interests of a person and state must be mutually preserved.

Keywords: *information, policy, state information policy, informatiology of the mass media, information society, power.*

As an integral part of the society's political system, the mass media play an important coordination role between the state and society, can freely operate and exert growing influence on social changes. The mass media can operate freely and play a growing role in public changes. Today, the mass media are capable of being the information guarantor of the state stability, a stabilizing factor for society, and by changing any social organism it also determines the importance of the state information policy.

Information is data conveyed to people. People have comprehended information

through data transmission since ancient times. According to R. F. Abdeyev, distinctness of information in any form reflects competition of these attributive and functional concepts, explains its bounds [1, 33]. This means that information used in the society in the form of exchange of information between people has social features.

Being a major component of information society, information policy features two main aspects: the first is that information is considered a significant factor influencing social development, while the second is that infor-

mation represents necessity in managing events and processes.

Politics, first and foremost, comprises several components like the establishment and maintenance of state power [2, 65], and demonstrates itself largely in the activities of social subjects aimed at realizing public interests through power institutions. It covers relations within the government as well as various social groups, classes, nations and states. Its essence is defined by "power" category, while its quality is defined by the essence of the social area that the policy is aimed at. From this aspect, state policy is divided into economic, social, cultural, national and information policy. So policy includes the state, legislative, party and election areas, decision-making mechanisms, political process, political relations, political culture, the media, and etc. It comprises special social institutions executing government authority, political relations, government authority, mass competition for power and control of it [3, 33].

According to E. Tovokin, areas of application of policy are different. But the nature and directions of the activity of social practice are defined by conceptual mechanisms of social groups, which have government authority [4, 40].

Different opinions have been voiced about the government. Of them Montesquieu differs with his socio-political modernization concept and liberal-reformist position. According to the French thinker, government is order, division and equality of power and law. "It has a status of the example of universal civilization" [5, 69].

Information policy is an activity of a person to actualize and realize his interests in society by means of creation, modification, preservation and transfer of all types of information. Information policy is a special field of people's activity who is involved in the presentation and dissemination of information (political figures, scientists, analysts, journalists, listeners, readers and etc.) that

meets interests of social groups and public institutions. Information policy has two types: state and private.

Researchers regard information policy an area of informatology, its socio-political integral part [6, 53].

From this point of view, information policy is informatology of the mass media. Informatology of the mass media considers how it shapes ideological, political, economic and other views, thoughts, knowledge and evaluation that influence the welfare, culture, conduct of people and all sides of their life. According to the main principle of the implementation of state information policy the interests of a person and state must be mutually preserved. This means that state information policy should protect and ensure the government's interests to the extent the government implements and protects the interests of civil society and any separate individual. According to V. D. Popov, the essence of information policy can be defined through information government category as follows: information policy is the ability of political subjects to influence – with the help of information and within the framework of the interests of the government and civil society – consciousness, psychology, conduct and activities of people. Private information policy can serve government, act in contrast to the interests of the civil society, it can build dialogue or disagree with government, or it can serve the interests of separate groups, parties or even individuals.

The object of information policy is the information area of the society's life as a scientific knowledge field in a broad sense of the word. It is the whole set of subjects performing the use, formation and distribution of information, information infrastructure, as well as relevant public relations. Civil society can be represented by the public consciousness as an influence tool of information. V. D. Popov says that the object of information society is information processes reflecting, expressing and protecting mass consciousness,

media and mass communication systems, rights of a person and state policy. [7, 20-22].

As a scientific knowledge (informatiology) area, the subject of information policy is the analysis and forecast of "public information relations", development trends and regularities of information processes and their development, as well as the discovery of the effects of impact of the media and mass communications on the mass consciousness, civil society and government.

The aim of information policy is achieving a system of knowledge for ensuring information and psychological security of citizens and the country, information-analytical accompaniment of state policy, and delivering government's decisions and programs to people as a mass governance subject. Being a scientific area, the task of information policy is "analyzing and forecasting modern information processes, developing theoretical-practical methods of information-analytical activity, ensuring information and psychological security. This way information policy helps to fulfill the task of strategic informatiology, particularly social one – the process of building information society.

Information policy is less analyzed and a more complex type of policy. This is conditioned by a number of factors, including the essence and current state of information area, and the system of the mass media. They consist of the system of institutions for the creation, preservation and transmission of information with the help of technologies. Given the fact that the mass media reflect the interests of government, society, parties, political, financial-economic groups, political interests of separate individuals, they become a more important subject of socio-political activity. When the mass media become the key factor shaping the public opinion this is much more noteworthy especially in the society where reforms are conducted. For this reason the mass media play the role of a political subject of government authority bodies, in other words, the subject of its information policy.

This policy is, in general, a system of principles, technologies and governance bodies ensuring government's interests in the field of information. The essence of information policy consists of information provi-

sion of the interests of government and society. And the protection of these interests is more and more dependent on information component of state policy.

The complexity of the structure of information policy should also be noted. This includes several types: a theoretical and methodological one related to the development of the conceptual basis of this policy, ideology; a social and technological one representing the total outcome of the ways and methods of achieving the goals expressed on the theoretical and methodological level and set based on the analysis of theory and practice; and a practical and organizational one which reflects the execution of the system of measures towards fulfilling major tasks.

Information policy also includes all-federal, regional levels. Both special governance apparatus, including methodology and execution methodics correspond to each of these levels.

There are also several types. This includes an authoritarian one which turns into personal will, a symbiotic one of governance of the information field, a government and public one corresponding to the interests of the society and government thanks to parity structures, etc.

Information policy is carried out in different areas. They consist of independent, but inter-connected structural parts (political, legislative, financial-economic, organizational-technical).

The political part includes direct establishment of the interests of government elite, and it is linked to the preparation and execution of legal acts regulating this area by legislation. The financial-economic part represents politics "solely". In other words, this includes the allocation of budget funds, the conduct of tax and customs policy, the working out of corporatization rules, etc. The last part – the organizational and technical one – covers human resources policy in the field of media, the creation of new structures, transformation of old ones, etc.

In the second half of the 20th century, information industry became one of the most important areas of public production, gradually subordinating all its spheres, especially services sector and leisure industry. The mass